

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

9.

MA 2217/2023 IN OA 1957/2021

Nb Sub Naveen Kumar	Applicant
Versus		
Union of India & Ors.	Respondents

For Applicant	:	In Person
For Respondents	:	Mr. Waize Ali Noor, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MS. RASIKA CHAUBE, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R
28.07.2025

MA 2217/2023

This execution application has been filed by invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, seeking execution of the order dated 01.05.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.1957/2021.

2. The operative portion of the said order, as contained in Para 21 thereof, directed the respondents to classify the applicant's injury as a "Battle Casualty" and extend to him all consequential benefits.

3. Alleging non-compliance with the said order, the applicant filed the present execution application. Notices were issued, and contempt proceedings were initiated.

In response, the respondents filed a short affidavit. Consequent to the proceedings before this Tribunal, the Competent Authority issued a Battle Casualty Certificate in favour of the applicant, vide certificate dated 17.03.2025.

4. Upon issuance of the said certificate, the matter was forwarded to the Competent Authority and thereafter to the PCDA (P), Prayagraj for settlement of the applicant's claim. Today, when the matter is taken up, PPO bearing No.206202200438 and the pension payment slip for the month of May, 2025, has been produced before the Tribunal. These documents indicate that following the declaration of the applicant as a Battle Casualty, all benefits relating to re-fixation of pension, disability element, and arrears have been duly paid to the applicant.

5. As per the records produced, a total amount of Rs.22,73,611/- has been paid towards lump sum entitlement and an additional amount of Rs.7,67,230/- has been paid towards arrears of pensionary benefits and injury element. The applicant's pension has also been re-fixed accordingly.

6. The applicant, who appears in person, submits that certain benefits such as the ECHS Card, Canteen Card, etc., have not yet been issued to him. However, it is noted that these issues were not the subject matter of adjudication in the

OA. The only prayer in the OA was for classification of the injury as a war injury/Battle Casualty and for grant of consequential benefits which has since been granted.

7. If the applicant is entitled to any other benefits under applicable rules and the same have not been extended to him, he is at liberty to file a detailed representation before the Competent Authority. Upon receipt of such representation, the Competent Authority is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law and applicable rules within a period of one month from the date of receipt of such representation.

8. In view of the above compliance as reported by the respondents, nothing further survives in the matter and the execution application stands disposed of.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON**

**[RASIKA CHAUBE]
MEMBER (A)**

/PS/AK/